

Frontline Workforce Performance Journal



Author **T. Muralidharan**Founder, Quanta People



Sub-Optimization in Talent Acquisition: Learning What NOT to Do from Toyota vs GM

In business, it's tempting to optimize every process, every department, and every metric in isolation—believing that if each part performs well, the whole system will too.

Nothing could be further from the truth.

One of the clearest demonstrations of this fallacy comes not from the HR world, but from the auto industry—specifically the stark contrast between **General Motors'** (**GM**) and **Toyota's** approach to manufacturing at the Fremont plant.

This story has a direct, urgent lesson for how most companies today are (mis) managing **Talent Acquisition**.



Frontline Workforce Performance Journal



The Cautionary Tale: GM Fremont vs Toyota NUMMI

GM Fremont: Optimizing Components, Breaking the System

In the early 1980s, GM's Fremont plant was the epitome of dysfunction:

- Low productivity
- High defect rates
- Toxic labor-management relations

Management's strategy?

They focused relentlessly on optimizing individual components:

- Worker output metrics
- Speed of production lines
- Cost-cutting in isolated departments

Departments worked in silos—engineering, manufacturing, quality control—each chasing its own KPIs.

Quality control happened after production, trying to catch defects instead of preventing them.

Result:

Despite hitting individual department targets, the entire system was broken. Poor

quality cars, labor disputes, and massive inefficiencies became the norm.

Toyota NUMMI: Systemic Optimization, Seamless Success

In 1984, Toyota partnered with GM to reopen the same Fremont plant as **NUMMI (New United Motor Manufacturing, Inc.)**.

But Toyota took a very different approach:

- Empowered workers:
 Every worker had authority
 to stop the production line at the first sign of a defect.
- Cross-functional collaboration: Departments worked together, focusing on end-to-end system performance—not local KPIs.
- Respect for people:
 Employees were treated as problem-solvers, not cogs.

Outcome:

- Same plant, same workforce—but productivity soared.
- Defect rates plummeted.
- · Labor tensions disappeared.
- NUMMI became one of GM's best-performing plants.

Key Insight:

GM's mistake was trying to optimize isolated parts.

Toyota's success came from optimizing the system as a whole.

The Relevance for Talent Acquisition:

Are We Hiring the Toyota Way or the GM Way?

At first glance, hiring processes in many companies, especially in high-attrition sectors like BFSI, appear efficient:

- Recruitment teams minimize cost-per-hire and time-tofill.
- Onboarding teams maximize completion rates.
- Training teams optimize cost-per-trainee.

Each team hits its KPIs. But the system still fails.

Symptoms of System Failure in Talent Acquisition:

 Infant attrition at an alltime high.

Over 80% of employees who quit within the first year leave in the first 6 months.



Frontline Workforce Performance Journal



 15% of new hires are Zero Performers.

They haven't made a single sale in six months, but the company has already sunk six months' salary into them.

Massive performance gaps.

The bottom 10% perform 10x worse than the top 10%.

A staggering 30%-40% of HR budgets are wasted on underperformance and churn.

What's Going Wrong?

Each department is laserfocused on **local metrics**:

- Recruitment teams optimize cost of hire, ignoring the cost of a wrong hire.
- Training teams reduce training costs, but aren't accountable for post-training performance.
- Onboarding teams focus on completing checklists, not ensuring readiness.

Result:

The system fails to deliver its real objective:

Hiring people who perform and stay.

The Real Cost:

At Quanta People's Centre of Excellence ON Frontline Workforce Performance, we've estimated that:

The cost of a wrong hire is 5X the cost of hire in BFSI frontline roles.

The Solution: Systemic Optimization in Talent Acquisition

Here's what needs to change:

1. System Outcome Metrics:

Shift from siloed KPIs to metrics that force collaboration:

- % of new hires who stay AND perform in the first 6 months
- **2. Redefine KPIs Across** Teams:
- Recruitment Team:
 - Cost of Right Hire, not just cost of hire.
 - Cost of wrong hire.
 - Time-to-fill right hires, not just anyone.

· Training Team:

- Time to first sale.
- % of trainees hitting 50%+ target in first 3 months.

Onboarding Team:

 Measured by readiness to perform, not checklist completion.

Conclusion:

The HR and Talent Acquisition world in BFSI and similar industries is dangerously close to repeating **GM's mistake**—focusing on sub-optimization, while the system leaks value.

If we want to build sustainable, high-performing workforces, we must shift to the **Toyota Way:**

Harmonize all parts toward the ultimate goal—performance and retention.

Otherwise, we are just being, in classic terms: "Penny wise, pound foolish."